

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/658,904	Applicant(s) KAPELLER-LIBERMANN, ROSANA	
	Examiner Maryam Monshipouri	Art Unit 1656	

All Participants:

(1) Maryam Monshipouri.

(2) Ms. T.M. Sioussat.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 March 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

29-34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: the examiner requested authority to cancel withdrawn claims 29-34. Ms. Sioussat indicated that said claims should be rejoined with the elected invention. In response the examiner mentioned that said claims are not directed to a method of use of an isolated polypeptide and have therefore, a different scope than the allowed product. Finally, it was mutually agreed to cancel the withdrawn claims in an examiner's amendment..